

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Cessnock Local Environmental Plan 2011 - Ruby Street, Bellbird spot rezoning and amending residential land use tables		
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal will de	termine whether it is approp	riate to:-
	Density Residential to SP2 Inf	rastructure to permit a wate	m RE1 Public Recreation and R2 Low r recycling facility. Council advises ledicated so It does not need to be
		idential zones and the grou	' in the R2 Low Density, R3 Medium p term 'water supply systems' in the cilitate the provision of utility
	mapping error caused by Ame (1720_COM_APU_005_080_20 government boundary betwee	endment No.8 on the additio 140226). The amending map	nation requires Council to correct a nal permitted use map o will correctly identify the local This map amendment was originally
	included as part of Amendme	nt No.14 but was left out of t	the notification request/gazettal.
PP Number :	included as part of Amendme PP_2015_CESSN_002_00	nt No.14 but was left out of t Dop File No :	
	_		the notification request/gazettal.
	_		the notification request/gazettal.
roposal Details	PP_2015_CESSN_002_00	Dop File No :	the notification request/gazettal. 15/03947
roposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received :	PP_2015_CESSN_002_00 26-Feb-2015	Dop File No :	the notification request/gazettal. 15/03947 Cessnock
roposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received : Region :	PP_2015_CESSN_002_00 26-Feb-2015 Hunter	Dop File No : LGA covered : RPA :	the notification request/gazettal. 15/03947 Cessnock Cessnock City Council
roposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received : Region : State Electorate :	PP_2015_CESSN_002_00 26-Feb-2015 Hunter CESSNOCK	Dop File No : LGA covered : RPA :	the notification request/gazettal. 15/03947 Cessnock Cessnock City Council
roposal Details Date Planning Proposal Received : Region : State Electorate : LEP Type : Location Details	PP_2015_CESSN_002_00 26-Feb-2015 Hunter CESSNOCK	Dop File No : LGA covered : RPA :	the notification request/gazettal. 15/03947 Cessnock Cessnock City Council

# **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Trent Wink
Contact Number :	0249042716
Contact Email :	trent.wink@planning.nsw.gov.au

## **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Bo Moshage
Contact Number :	0249934241
Contact Email :	Bo.Moshage@cessnock.nsw.gov.au

# **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

-		
Contact	Namo	
COLLACE	Name	

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

# Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A .	Release Area Name :	N/A
•	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	State Environment Planning Po Clause 106 permits :- 1) development by a person lic (WIC Act) for the purpose of a carried out without developme 2) a sewage reticulation system	ensed under the Water Indu sewage treatment plant or w nt consent within prescribe	vater recycling facility to be d zones; and

other person with development consent, on any land.

There are no provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 for development for the purpose of a water supply system by any person other than a public authority (or acting on behalf of a public authority), with or without development consent.

#### Adequacy Assessment

#### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The statement of objectives explains the purpose of the planning proposal. The planning proposal aims to avoid the need for future rezoning proposals for the provision of utility infrastructure in urban release areas across the LGA.

#### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The explanation of provisions explains that the subject land at Bellbird will be rezoned to SP2 Infrastructure to accommodate a water recycling facility and no minimum lot size will be applied.

The planning proposal also amends the land use tables to permit with consent 'sewerage systems' in the R2 Low Density, R3 Medium Density and R5 Large Lot Residential zones and 'water supply systems' in the R2 Low Density and R5 Large Lot Residential zones to facilitate the provision of utility infrastructure. Water supply systems are already permissible with consent in the R3 - Medium Density zone.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones4.3 Flood Prone Land6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required?

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 :

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No SEPP (In

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : The amendment to the land use tables to make the group terms 'sewerage systems' and 'water supply systems' permissible with consent is considered consistent with the relevant SEPPs and Minister's S117 directions. Also, the inclusion of the proposed utility infrastructure is considered compatible with the relevant zone objectives.

The RPA has identified SEPP55 and SEPP(Infrastructure) 2007 as being relevant. Assessment of SEPP 55 is not required as part of this planning proposal because Council was satisfied that the subject land was suitable for residential and public recreational uses as part of the Bellbird North URA (Amendment 121 to the Cessnock LEP 1989).

The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 by permitting utility infrastructure in residential zones with consent.

**Minister's s117 Directions** 

3.1 Residential Zones

The planning proposal reduces the amount of land zoned residential to provide a water recycling facility for the urban release area. The planning proposal is considered

	consistent with this direction.
4	4.3 Flood Prone Land Council advises that the water recycling facility will be located above the 1% AEP and away from proposed storm water culvert and overland flow path through the development. The planning proposal is considered consistent with this direction.
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes. The planning proposal reduces the amount of land zoned RE1 Public Recreation by 3538m2. Council advises that there is approximately 140ha of land rezone RE1 for passive open space requirements as part of the Bellbird North URA. The proposed reduction of land zoned RE1 - Public Recreation zoned will not have a detrimental impact on the provision of public open space in the Bellbird North Area. It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate approves the rezoning of RE1 Public Open Space.
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The Gateway determination will approve the inconsistency with Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	
Comment :	The draft zoning and minimum lot size maps accurately reflect the aims of the planning proposal. The Gateway determination requires Council to label the SP2 Infrastructure Zone as a use defined in the Standard Instrument, such as water recycling facility.
Community consult	tation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consul	tation been proposed?
Comment :	Council suggests a minimum exhibition period of 28 days.
	The planning proposal is considered low impact. It is recommended that a 14 day exhibition period should be sufficient.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal
and designed to be	
	et the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Principal LEP completed
Assessment Criteri	a
Need for planning proposal :	Yes. The preparation of a Planning Proposal is the most appropriate mechanism to investigate whether the subject land should be rezoned and to make utility infrastructure

	permissible with consent in various residential zones.
	It is important to correct the mapping anomaly as part of this planning proposal to accurately identify the local government boundary between Cessnock and Singleton.
Consistency with strategic planning	The Planning Proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report.
framework :	The amendment to the land use tables is considered compatible with the relevant zone
	objectives and will make the provision of essential infrastructure permissible with consen
	in residential zones not covered by State Environment Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007.
	Council advises that it has reviewed its land use tables and decided where it wanted to permit sewerage systems and water supply systems. Council decided not to permit sewerage systems and water supply systems in the RU4 Primary Production Small Lots zone.
	Correctly identifying the local government boundary between Cessnock and Singleton is consistent with the Local Government Act.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The spot rezoning and proposed amendments to the land use table will make essential infrastructure permissible with consent in the various residential zones. The assessment
	process will determine the potential environmental, social and economic impacts on a
	case by case scenario.
	There are no environmental, social and economic impacts from properly identifying the
	local government boundaries on the additional permitted use map.

#### ment Pro

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consul Period :	ation 14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Hunter Water Corr	poration		
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons	:			
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required.			
If Other, provide reaso	ns :			
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required	1:		
No internal consultati	on required			

Cessnock Local Environmental Plan 2011 - Ruby Street, Bellbird spot	rezoning and
amending residential land use tables	

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? Yes

If Yes, reasons :

The Bellbird Urban Release Area is required to contributed towards State Infrastructure.

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Attachment to letter 25.2.15 - 18 2014 5 _ Planning Proposal - Bellbird North (Bellbird Ridge) _ MASTER _ Moshage.pdf	Proposal	Yes

# Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
*	1. The Planning Proposal be supported and Council be given the Minister's plan making
	delegations. Council is unable to use the Minister's plan making delegations if there is an
	unresolved objection from another Government agency.
	2. Prior to undertaking community consultation, the land use zoning map of the planning
	proposal should be amended by labelling the SP2 Infrastructure Zone as a use defined in
	the Standard Instrument, such as water recycling facility.
	3. Revise the planning proposal to amend the additional permitted uses map
	1720_COM_APU_005_080_20140226 to correctly identify the local government boundary
	between Cessnock and Singleton.
	4. Community consultation is required under section 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmenta
	Planning & Assessment Act 1979 ('EP&A' Act) as follows:
	(a) the Planning Proposal be made publicly available for 14 days;
	(b) the relevant authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition
	of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be publicly available
	along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A guide to preparing LEPs
	(Department for Planning 2009).
	5. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of
	the EP&A Act:
	Hunter Water Corporation
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any
	relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to
	comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to commen
	on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional
	matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.
	a man and a state of the state of DE4 Dublic Ones Concernder th
	6. The Secretary (or delegate) approves the rezoning of RE1 Public Open Space under the Minister's S117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes.
	Minister's S117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes. 7. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body unde
	Minister's S117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes. 7. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body unde
	<ul> <li>6. The Secretary (or delegate) approves the rezoning of RE1 Public Open Space under the Minister's S117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes.</li> <li>7. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&amp; A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing.</li> </ul>

essnock Local Enviro nending residential la	onmental Plan 2011 - Ruby Street, Bellbird spot rezoning and and use tables
	Determination.
Supporting Reasons ;	The Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) are responsible for assessing and making recommendations to the Minister for the construction and operation of water and sewer infrastructure pursuant to the Water Industry Competition Act 2006 (WICA 2006).
	The purpose of the planning proposal is to make essential utility infrastructure permissible in residential zones not covered by State Environment Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007. State Environment Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 does not permit water supply system by any person other than a public authority (or acting on behalf of a public authority), with or without development consent in any zone.
	The Department supports Council's request to correct this mapping error as part of this planning proposal.
Signature:	Koklan
Printed Name:	K-01FLAHER7Y Date: 6/3/15-

0		